



For this reason, the Court DISMISSES the case with prejudice in its entirety for the reasons discussed in the Screening Order. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). Judgment will be entered in accordance with that prior Order.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), the Court CERTIFIES that any appeal in this matter by Mansfield would not be taken in good faith. The Court DENIES leave to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis*. If Mansfield nevertheless chooses to file a notice of appeal, Mansfield must either (1) pay the entire \$505 appellate filing fee or, if Mansfield is confined at that time, (2) submit a new *in forma pauperis* affidavit and a current, certified copy of Mansfield's inmate trust account statement for the last six months, in compliance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(a)-(b).

For § 1915(g) analysis of Mansfield's future filings, if any, the Court recommends that the dismissal of this case be treated as a strike. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); *see also Simons v. Washington*, No. 20-1406, 2021 WL 1727619, at \*1 (6th Cir. May 3, 2021); ECF No. 10 at PageID 44 (recommending that a dismissal of the case for Mansfield's failure to timely amend be treated as a strike pursuant to § 1915(g).)

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 21 day of July, 2022.

/s/ Samuel H. Mays, Jr.  
SAMUEL H. MAYS, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE